IN DEFENCE OF CAPITALISM



Dr Rainer Zitelmann

A REGULAR SERIES

he two American computer programmers Brian Acton and Jan Koum invented WhatsApp and sold it to Facebook for \$19 billion in 2014. Two billion people around the world now use WhatsApp to send not only messages and files, but also to make free phone calls. Thanks to their idea, the two WhatsApp founders have amassed a combined fortune of \$16 billion.

Has inequality increased because there are now two more multibillionaires? Certainly. But has it hurt anyone, except perhaps providers of expensive phone plans? In China, thanks to the introduction

of private property and features of the market economy, the number of people living in extreme poverty has fallen from 88 to less than 1 per cent since the early 1980s. At the same time, the number of rich people has increased more than in any other country. Today, only the USA has more billionaires than China. Inequality has gone up, poverty has gone down. Does anyone think people in China want to go back to life under Mao simply because peo-

to the under Mao simply because peo-ple were more equal?

The fact that inequality is discussed more than poverty in the public debate is an expression of envy, even if the critics of inequality deny this motive. Envy is the most commonly denied, repressed and "masked" emotion. When envy becomes recognizable as such, or is openly communicated, the envious person automatically disqualifies their person automatically disqualifies their intentions. The anthropologist George M. Foster asks why people can admit to feelings of guilt, shame, pride, greed, and even anger without loss of self-esteem, but find it almost impossible to admit to feelings of envy. He offers this explanation: anyone who admits to themselves and others that they are envious is also admitting that they feel inferior. This is precisely why it is so difficult to acknowledge and accept one's own envy.

difficult to acknowledge and accept one's own envy.
How strongly the topic of inequality and the "gap between rich and poor" inflames the media – and not only them – was shown by the outstanding success of French economist Thomas Piketry's book Capital in the 21st Century Piketry consoder that inequality. tury. Piketty concedes that inequality decreased, not increased, during most of the twentieth century. Only from 1990 onwards has there been a nega-1990 onwards has there been a negative development towards more inequality. The years that are particularly bad from Piketty's point of view were actually the best for hundreds of millions of people all around the world. In the 20 years for which Piketty claims increasely in increase (1900-2010) and the control of the property of th inequality increased (1990-2010), as many as 700 million people were lifted out of extreme poverty. Criticism of inequality in Britain, es-

pecially of high managerial salaries, also often works with false figures.



In the second of an eight-week series, German historian and sociologist Dr Rainer Zitelmann makes the case that capitalism is the answer to many of the world's problems - not the cause. This week, he mythbusts the idea that free markets lead to growing inequality



Damien Knight and Harry McCreddie have shown that many statistics published in the media about executive pay inflation or about the development of the ratio between executive ment of the ratio between executive pay and that of ordinary employees, are grossly flawed because those who make these calculations frequently lack even a rudimentary understanding of mathematical or statistical methodologies. For example, averages and medians are often confused, or no distriction is made between pay distinction is made between pay awards granted and actual pay awards, and so on. Taking the UK as an example, they explain how an actual increase in executive salaries of six per cent in a given period quickly becomes an increase of 23 per cent in the media, or an increase of two per cent



The fact that inequality is discussed more than poverty in the public debate is an expression of envy, even if the critics of inequality deny this motive

clusion: "Our view is that poor research and analysis has done more damage to social cohesion than the companies themselves have done by paying their top executives highly.

The American economists Phil Gramm, Robert Ekelund and John Early also make the same point in their book The Myth of American Inequality. They criticise the fact that transfer payments and taxes are ignored in U.S. statistics on inequality. If the substantial taxes paid by high earners are not re-flected in the statistics and the sub-stantial transfer payments received by low earners are also largely disregarded, then this logically leads to the data on growing inequality being wrong. If taxes and transfers are included, then the relationship between the income of the lowest and the top 20 per cent of Americans is 4.0 to 1 rather than the 16.7 to 1 reported in

the official census data.
So, inequality has not risen nearly as much as is often claimed. In any case, I believe that we should be less concerned with the issue of inequality

and more cerned with the problem of poverty.

 Dr. Rainer
 Zitelmann's new book. In Defence of Capitalism, has just been published and is . widely available.



New rebuttals to tired arguments as old as capitalism itself

magine someone programmed a Twitter bot which, every time somebody describes a problem of some sort, responded with some variation of "I think you will find that the root cause of the problem is capitalism!"

That bot would easily get tens of thousands of likes, retweets, and supportive replies every time. Anti-capitalist platitudes, no matter how lame and clichéd, almost always do. More, the bot would probably soon receive invitations to appear on Question Time and Good Morning Britain,

Book Review

Dr Kristian Niemietz



and to write for the Guardian and the

Independent.
Anti-capitalism is extremely in vogue: it is the conventional wisdom of our age. This is

not limited to the social media bubble. A recent report by the Fraser Institute, "Perspectives on Capitalism and Socialism", shows that one in three British Millennials believe that "the ideal economic system for the United Kingdom is communism". This makes Dr Rainer Zitelmann's latest

book "In Defence of Capitalism: Debunking the Myths" all the more timely and relevant Dr Zitelmann takes aim at ten of the trendiest anti-capitalist clichés, and rebuts them thoroughly. This includes some which are as old as capitalism itself (e.g. "Capitalism is responsible for hunger and poverty"), some recent additions (e.g. "Capitalism is responsible for environmental destruction and climate change"), and some which have been added to the mix at various stages in between.

Dr Zitelmann is not preaching to the or zitemann is not preaching to the converted. He engages seriously with the arguments he is rebutting, and quotes their proponents at length, giving them a fair hearing. He neither misrepresents his opponents, nor does he pick on their weakest arguments. You can read this book at more than one level. It is accessible enough for someone who is new to these arguments, since Dr Zitelmann does not expect extensive previous knowledge from his readers, and keeps economic and sociological jargon to a minimum. But a more advanced reader. who is already familiar with the basic arguments, will still find plenty of new information.

Dr Kristian Niemietz is Head of Political Economy at the Institute of Economic Affairs